

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,377	02/11/2002	Masafumi Okuma	1-15682 5528	
7590 07/26/2004		EXAMINER		
Marshall & Melhorn, LLC			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
Phillip S. Oberli 8th Floor	n		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Four SeaGate Toledo, OH 43604			2839	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/074,377	OKUMA ET AL.				
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chandrika Prasad	2839				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Chandrika Prasad</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Donald Schurr</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 23 July 2004.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∐ applicant's represer	ntative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: Bailey et al (4461539).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)□ was not reached. I	ı)⊠ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant explained recod. Bailey does not show an insert pipelitted over the ficoncurs. The applicant plans to submit a request for recond.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	the difference between to the difference between to the ferrule by sideration and/or RCE  dments which the examination of the amendments	he instant invention and prior art of insert molding. The examiner er agreed would render the claims				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		A				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner'	s signature, if required				